

# Plan Year 2020

---

GUIDANCE FOR PARTICIPATION OF HEALTH AND DENTAL PLANS IN  
THE SILVER STATE HEALTH INSURANCE EXCHANGE

December 21, 2018

Revised February 20, 2019



Silver State Health Insurance Exchange  
2310 S. Carson St. Suite 2  
Carson City, NV 89701

**Date: December 20, 2018**

**From: The Silver State Health Insurance Exchange (SSHIX)**

**Title: 2020 Draft Letter to Issuers**

The Silver State Health Insurance Exchange is releasing this plan year 2020 Draft Letter to Issuers. This letter provides updates on operational and technical guidance for the 2020 plan year for issuers seeking to offer qualified health plans (QHPs), including stand-alone dental plans (SADPs) on Exchange. Issuers should refer to these updates to help them successfully participate on Exchange in 2020.

The Silver State Health Insurance Exchange (SSHIX) welcomes comments on this proposed guidance. To the extent that this guidance summarizes policies proposed through other rulemaking processes that have not yet been finalized, such as the rulemaking process for the 2020 Payment Notice Proposed Rule, stakeholders should comment on those underlying policies through the ongoing rulemaking processes, and not through the comment process for this Letter. Please send comments on other aspects of this Letter to [pmanagement@exchange.nv.gov](mailto:pmanagement@exchange.nv.gov) by January 22, 2019. Comments will be most helpful if organized by subsections of this Letter.

<b>CHAPTER 1: CERTIFICATION PROCESS FOR QUALIFIED HEALTH PLANS</b>	<b>4</b>
Section 1. QHP Certification Process and Timeline.....	4
Section 2. QHP Application Data Submission.....	5
Section 3. QHP Data Changes.....	6
Section 4. QHP Review Coordination with SSHIX .....	7
Section 5. Plan ID Crosswalk.....	7
Section 6. Issuer Participation for the Full Plan Year .....	7
<b>CHAPTER 2: QUALIFIED HEALTH PLAN AND STAND-ALONE DENTAL PLAN CERTIFICATION STANDARDS</b>	<b>8</b>
Section 1. Licensure and Good Standing .....	8
Section 2. Service Area .....	8
Section 3. Network Adequacy.....	8
Section 4. Essential Community Providers .....	10
Section 5. Accreditation .....	10
Section 6. Patient Safety Standards for QHP Issuers .....	11
Section 7. Quality Reporting Strategy.....	11
Section 8. Quality Improvement Strategy .....	12
Section 9. Review of Rates .....	13
Section 10. Discriminatory Benefit Design.....	14
Section 12. Prescription Drugs.....	14
Section 13. Third Party Payment of Premiums and Cost Sharing.....	14
Section 14. Cost-sharing Reduction Plan Variations.....	14
Section 15. Data Integrity Review .....	14
<b>CHAPTER 3: STAND-ALONE DENTAL PLANS: 2019 APPROACH</b>	<b>15</b>
Section 1. SADP Annual Limitation on Cost Sharing .....	15
Section 2. SADP Actuarial Value Requirements .....	15
<b>CHAPTER 4: CONSUMER SUPPORT AND RELATED ISSUES</b>	<b>16</b>
Section 1. Consumer Case Tracking and Coverage Appeals .....	16
Section 2. Meaningful Access.....	16
Section 3. Summary of Benefits and Coverage.....	16
<b>CHAPTER 5: TRIBAL RELATIONS AND SUPPORT</b>	<b>18</b>

## CHAPTER 1: CERTIFICATION PROCESS FOR QUALIFIED HEALTH PLANS

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA) and applicable regulations provide that health plans, including SADPs, must meet a number of standards in order to be certified as QHPs. Several of these are market-wide standards that apply to plans offered in the individual and small group markets both inside and outside of the Exchange. The remaining standards are specific to health plans seeking QHP certification from SSHIX.

This chapter provides an overview of the QHP certification process. Additional information and instructions about the process for issuers to complete a QHP application can be found at <https://www.nevadahealthlink.com/partner-resources/carriers/>

### Section 1. QHP Certification Process and Timeline

As in prior years, issuers will submit a complete QHP application for all plan year 2020 plans they intend to have certified by SSHIX. Through an iterative process as shown below in Table 1.1, SSHIX will review QHP applications for current and new issuers applying for QHP certification and send issuers notices summarizing any need for corrections after each round of review. As reflected in the table, with the final objection notice SSHIX will also provide each issuer a list of plans SSHIX and the Division of Insurance (DOI) received and reviewed during the QHP application process, which each issuer will confirm. An issuer's submission of the final plan confirmation list to SSHIX is generally the last opportunity for such issuer to withdraw a plan from certification consideration for the upcoming plan year.

Finally, issuers intending to offer QHPs, including SADPs, will sign and submit to SSHIX a Carrier Billing Agreement and Program Attestation agreement.

SSHIX will countersign the QHP Carrier Billing Agreement and Program Attestations and return it to issuers along with a final list of certified QHPs, completing the certification process for the upcoming plan year. An issuer must submit a plan withdrawal form to SSHIX to withdraw a plan from QHP certification consideration, or to change an on-Exchange SADP under certification consideration to an off-Exchange SADP for certification consideration.

Table 1.1 Proposed Draft Plan Year 2020 Timeline

<b>Activity</b>	<b>Deadline</b>
Intent to implement EDI testing for participation in Plan Year 2020	1/31/2019
Carriers submit issuer Submission Form	5/20/2019
All binder filings due in SERFF	6/3/2019
SSHIX initial review of binder data submitted in SERFF	6/3-7/15/2019
SSHIX sends first correction notice to carriers. Carriers have 5 business days to respond	6/17/2019

First data transfer from SERFF to Nevada Health Link SBE Platform	7/15/2019
SSHIX initial certification review on Nevada Health Link SBE Platform	7/15-8/2/2019
Proposed rate change posted on the DOI website	8/1/2019
Carrier plan preview on Nevada Health Link SBE Platform	8/5-8/22/19
Letters of Good Standing and Network Adequacy submitted to SSHIX from DOI	8/20/2019
Final Deadline for Issuers to change QHP application without state authorization	8/22/2019
Final data transfer from SERFF to Nevada Health Link SBE Platform	8/23/2019
Attestations and Billing Agreements sent to carriers with final plan confirmation list	9/2/2019
Issuers send signed agreements, and confirm final plan listings	9/16-9/20/2019
Limited data correction window (not applicable to utilize for service area changes or rate data). Must obtain State Authorization prior to use of window.	9/18-9/20/2019
SSHIX to send final plan confirmation list and countersigned attestations and billing agreements to carriers	9/25/2019
Plans Certified	9/25/2019
Approved rate changes posted on the DOI website	10/1/2019
Consumer window shopping begins	10/1/2019
Open enrollment begins	11/1/2019

## Section 2. QHP Application Data Submission

SSHIX and DOI expect issuers to adhere to the QHP certification timeline. SSHIX requires issuers, including SADP issuers, to submit complete QHP applications by the initial binder submission deadline on June 3, 2019 and to make necessary updates to the QHP application prior to the last deadline for issuer submission on August 20, 2019.

All issuers must obtain Health Insurance Oversight System (HIOS) product and plan IDs using HIOS. New for plan year 2020, all issuers will receive access to the Nevada Health Link SBE Platform issuer portal for plan preview, and verifying accuracy of plan data.

Issuers applying for QHP certification will use the National Association of Insurance Commissioners' System for Electronic Rate and Form Filing (SERFF) to collect plan

data, which may include copies of the QHP templates, and any data submitted by issuers applying for QHP certification.

All issuers applying for QHP certification will be able to view plan data in the Plan Preview environment in order to identify and correct data submission errors before the final QHP application data submission deadline. Issuers will be able to view their plan data after SSHIX transfers the QHP data from SERFF to Nevada Health Link SBE Platform. Issuers should utilize the Plan Preview environment to verify that their plan display reflects their approved filings.

Discrepancies between an issuer’s QHP application and approved filings may result in a plan not being certified or a compliance action if SSHIX has already certified a plan as a QHP. All issuers must complete quality assurance activities to ensure the completeness and accuracy of QHP application data, including reviewing plan data in the Plan Preview environment, and run all necessary review tools provided by CMS. Tools can be found at the following link: <https://www.qhpcertification.cms.gov/s/Review%20Tools>

**Section 3. QHP Data Changes**

During the certification process for plan year 2020, SSHIX will allow issuers to make changes to their QHP application based on the guidelines below. These changes are in addition to any corrections that SSHIX identified during its review of QHP applications. There will be occasional windows used for data corrections as needed. Those dates will be defined at a later date and carriers will be notified by SSHIX of the data correction windows.

**Table 1.2 Key Dates for QHP Data Changes**

<b>Activity</b>	<b>Deadline</b>
QHP/SADP certification review. Changes permitted without state authorization	6/4-8/22/2019
Limited data correction window. Data corrections must have state authorization	9/18-9/20/2019

Issuers may make changes to their QHP applications without State authorization until the deadline for initial application submission (08/22/2019). After the close of the initial QHP application submission window, issuers may not add new plans to a QHP application or change an off-Exchange plan to both on and off-Exchange. Issuers also may not change plan type(s) and may not change QHPs, excluding SADPs, from a child-only plan to a non-child-only plan. Issuers may only change their service area after SSHIX approves the change. For all other changes, issuers will be able to upload revised QHP data templates and make other necessary changes to QHP applications in response to State feedback until the deadline for issuer changes.

To withdraw a plan from QHP certification consideration, an issuer must submit to SSHIX a plan withdrawal form. After submission of an initial QHP application, an issuer should not remove plan data from the application templates, even if the issuer withdraws a plan. In addition, issuers seeking to change an on-Exchange SADP under certification consideration to an off-

Exchange SADP for certification consideration must submit a plan withdrawal request.

After the final deadline for issuer changes to QHP applications, issuers will only make corrections directed by SSHIX. Issuers whose applications are not accurate after the deadline for issuer submission of changes to the QHP application, which is August 20, 2019, and are then required to enter the limited data correction window, may be subject to compliance action by SSHIX and DOI. Issuer changes made in the limited data correction window not approved by SSHIX may result in compliance action by SSHIX and DOI, which could include decertification and suppression of the issuer's plans on <https://www.nevadahealthlink.com/>.

After completion of the QHP certification process, SSHIX may offer additional data correction windows. SSHIX will only consider approving changes that do not alter the QHP's certification status or require re-review of data previously approved by SSHIX or DOI. A request for a data change after August 20, 2019, excluding administrative changes, may be made due to inaccuracies in or the incompleteness of a QHP application, and may result in compliance action. Discrepancies between the issuer's QHP application and approved State filings may result in a plan not being certified or a compliance action if SSHIX has already certified a plan as a QHP. Issuers that request to make changes that affect consumers may have their plans suppressed from display on Nevadahealthlink.com until the data is corrected and refreshed for consumer display.

#### Section 4. QHP Review Coordination with SSHIX

SSHIX will define the relevant submission window for reviews as well as dates and processes for corrections and resubmissions.

SSHIX will perform QHP certification reviews, and may exercise reasonable flexibility in their application of QHP certification standards, provided that the application of each standard is consistent with state and federal regulations and guidance. Issuers seeking QHP certification in Nevada should continue to refer to State direction in addition to this guidance.

SSHIX and DOI will establish the timeline, communication process, and resubmission window for any reviews conducted under State authority. As noted previously, issuers should comply with any State-specific guidelines for review and resubmission related to State review standards. Issuers must meet all applicable obligations under State law and Federal law to be certified for sale on <https://www.nevadahealthlink.com/>.

SSHIX will make final QHP certification decisions, and load certified QHP plans on Nevada Health Link SBE Platform for consumer purchase.

SSHIX will provide all of their recommendations and relevant information to issuers in a timely manner and no later than the final plan recommendation deadline noted in Table 1.1.

#### Section 5. Plan ID Crosswalk

The approach for 2020 certification with regard to plan ID crosswalk and alternate enrollments remains unchanged from that used in 2019. Please refer to the 2019 Letter to Issuers<sup>1</sup> for more

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.cms.gov/CCIIO/Resources/Regulations-and-Guidance/Downloads/2019-Letter-to-Issuers.pdf>

information.

#### Section 6. Issuer Participation for the Full Plan Year

Issuers seeking QHP certification must adhere to 45 CFR 156.272 in offering a QHP through the full plan year. The full plan year for plan year 2020 is defined as 1/1/2020-12/31/2020.



## **CHAPTER 2: QUALIFIED HEALTH PLAN AND STAND-ALONE DENTAL PLAN CERTIFICATION STANDARDS**

This Chapter provides an overview of key QHP certification standards for both QHPs and SADPs on Exchange how SSHIX will evaluate and conduct reviews of 2020 QHPs and SADPs for compliance.

### **Section 1. Licensure and Good Standing**

The DOI determine whether each applicant is licensed and in good standing pursuant to 45 CFR 156.200(b)(4).

### **Section 2. Service Area**

SSHIX has defined service areas for on-Exchange plans. See Service Area Policy for reference.

### **Section 3. Network Adequacy**

This section describes how the Silver State Health Exchange will address network adequacy standards and certification review. The Silver State Health Exchange will rely on the Division of Insurance to conduct its network adequacy review for plan year 2020 QHP certification of all plans with the exception of dental plans which will be reviewed by the Silver State Health Exchange. NRS 687B.490 requires that “a carrier that offers coverage in the small employer group or individual market must, before making any network plan available for sale in this State, demonstrate the capacity to deliver services adequately by applying to the Commissioner for the issuance of a network plan and submitting a description of the procedures and programs to be implemented to meet the requirements.”

As was done during the 2018 and 2019 certification processes, for 2020 plan year certification, the Division of Insurance will assess provider networks using the standards outlined in the Adequacy of Networks section of NAC 687B.

This section provides clarity on the criteria that the Division of Insurance has previously used and will use as part of the certification process to review network provider data to determine network adequacy. For 2020, the Division of Insurance will review provider data with a focus on the following specialties: Hospitals, Endocrinology, Infectious Disease, Psychiatrist, Psychologist, Licensed Clinical Social Works, Pediatrics, Oncology, Outpatient Dialysis, Primary Care, and Rheumatology.

Specifically, in order to determine whether plans provide reasonable access for these specialties, we will review the provider data using the maximum time and distance standards detailed in the table below.

Table 2.1. Specialties and Standards for Plan Year 2020 Network Adequacy Certification<sup>2</sup>

Specialty Area	Maximum Time or Distance Standards (Minutes/Miles)			
	Metro	Micro	Rural	Counties with Extreme Access Considerations (CEAC)
Primary Care	15/10	30/20	40/30	70/60
Endocrinology	60/40	100/75	110/90	145/130
Infectious Diseases	60/40	100/75	110/90	145/130
Oncology - Medical/Surgical	45/30	60/45	75/60	110/100
Oncology - Radiation/Radiology	60/40	100/75	110/90	145/130
Psychiatrist	45/30	60/45	75/60	110/100
Psychologist	45/30	60/45	75/60	110/100
Licensed Clinical Social Works (LCSW)	45/30	60/45	75/60	110/100
Pediatrics	25/15	30/20	40/30	105/90
Rheumatology	60/40	100/75	110/90	145/130
Hospitals	45/30	80/60	75/60	110/100
Outpatient Dialysis	45/30	80/60	90/75	125/110

For each specialty and standard listed in the table, we will review the issuer-submitted data to make sure that the plan provides access to at least one provider in each of the above-listed provider types for at least 90 percent of enrollees. For example, for Primary Care in a Metro county type, at least 90 percent of enrollees must have at least one provider within 15 miles or 30 minutes.

As in past years, in addition to permitting issuers to add additional providers, we will use a justification process when the Division of Insurance determines that an issuer’s network is inadequate under the review standard. The justification process requires that QHP issuers detail

<sup>2</sup> The full definitions for each of the county types listed can be found on page 6 of the temporary regulation T005-18.

patterns of care and other relevant information that explain how the issuer provides reasonable access to enrollees in the identified area(s). The justification must specifically address how issuers meet the reasonable access standard, despite not meeting the time and distance standards.

Section 4. Essential Community Providers

The approach for reviews of the ECP standard remains unchanged from that used in 2019, with the exceptions noted below. Please refer to NAC 687B.768 for more information.

Each network plan must contract with at least 30 percent of the essential community providers in the service area of the network plan that are available to participate in the provider network of the network plan, as calculated using the methodology contained in the 2019 Letter to Issuers in the Federally-facilitated Marketplaces. Contracts must be offered in good faith to all available Indian health care providers in the service area of the network plan, including, without limitation, the Indian Health Service, Indian Tribes, tribal organizations and urban Indian organizations, as defined in 25 U.S.C. § 1603, which apply the special terms and conditions necessitated by federal statutes and regulations as referenced in the *Model Qualified Health Plan Addendum for Indian Health Care Providers*. Offers contracts in good faith to all available ECPs in all Counties designated as Counties with Extreme Access Considerations (CEAC) included in the plan’s service area;

Section 5. Accreditation

The QHP issuer must meet a minimum level of accreditation by an accrediting entity recognized by HHS. SSHIX will verify an issuer’s accreditation status for certification or recertification.

A QHP issuer in their second or later year of certification must achieve AAAHC, NCQA, or URAC accreditation. Issuers entering their initial year of QHP certification for plan years beginning in 2020 must meet the requirement in 45 CFR 155.1045(b)(1), but may submit accreditation information for display if they have existing accreditation.

A QHP issuer shall notify SSHIX of any accreditation review scheduled for the upcoming plan year. The issuer shall notify SSHIX within five business days if there is a change in accreditation status or if there is a failure to maintain up-to-date accreditation.

SSHIX reserves the right to decertify a QHP if accreditation is terminated or not achieved by the relevant deadline.

SSHIX will certify a health plan as accredited if one of the following statuses is held by the QHP issuer:

- NCQA: excellent, commendable, accredited, provisional, or interim (interim status requires a second review within 18 months)
  - SSHIX will not recognize NCQA status: denied
- URAC: full, provisional, or conditional (conditional status requires a second review within three to six months)
  - SSHIX will not recognize URAC status: denial

- AAAHC: Certificate of Accreditation
  - SSHIX will not recognize AAAHC status: denial

SSHIX may certify a QHP prior to that health plan becoming exchange-accredited as described below. During a new issuer's initial and next two certification processes, SSHIX may certify a health plan as a QHP that is unaccredited if the issuer satisfies the following:

- When submitting a health plan for certification, an issuer must attest that it will schedule the "exchange accreditation" (in accordance with 45 CFR §§156.275 and 156.1045) in the product types (HMO, EPO, MCO, POS, or PPO) used in offering its QHPs.

## Section 6. Patient Safety Standards for QHP Issuers

The approach for QHP patient safety annual certification standards is unchanged from the 2017 Letter to Issuers. Please refer to that document for details regarding guidance for QHP issuers who contract with a hospital with more than 50 beds.

## Section 7. Quality Reporting Strategy

To satisfy this criterion, QHP issuers are required to participate in the federal Quality Rating System (QRS) provided under ACA Section 1311(c)(3), including the disclosure and reporting of information on health care quality and outcomes described in ACA Sections 1311(c)(1)(H) and 1311(c)(1)(I), and the implementation of appropriate enrollee satisfaction surveys consistent with ACA Section 1311(c)(4) (and 45 CFR §156.200(b)(5)). Issuers must also comply with additional federal guidance regarding the QRS and enrollee satisfaction surveys, including requirements described in the Quality Rating System and Qualified Health Plan Enrollee Experience Survey: Technical Guidance for 2018 and the 2018 Quality Rating System Measure Technical Specification, published by CMS, and any subsequent updates to that guidance.

All qualifying issuers offering a QHP of any metal level through the Exchange must comply with QRS requirements and report on all quality measures defined by CMS. For data reporting to CMS during 2019, a qualifying issuer is an issuer that offers a product type on the Exchange that meets the minimum enrollment threshold (more than 500 enrollees in that product type as of both July 1, 2018 and January 1, 2019). An issuer that meets the minimum enrollment threshold but is offering a different product type for 2019 coverage will have the option of displaying their QRS rating for plans of the different product type.

CMS will work with issuers to collect data and calculate the quality performance ratings for QHPs offered through the Exchange. During the open enrollment period for the 2020 plan year. During 2020 qualifying issuers will report data from the 2018 plan year to CMS, and that data will be analyzed by CMS and be the basis for the quality performance.

For the 2020 plan year, the Nevada Health Link SBE Platform will not display plan rating data.

In addition to the requirements described above, a QHP issuer will also be required to participate in any other quality reporting requirements that may be authorized by federal regulation or specified by SSHIX.

## Section 8. Quality Improvement Strategy

Any eligible QHP issuer participating in the Exchange for three or more consecutive years must implement, and report on, a quality improvement strategy (QIS), in accordance with ACA § 1311(g), 45 CFR 156.1130, other applicable law, and Exchange guidance. A QIS is required to incentivize quality by tying payments to (1) performance measures when providers meet specific quality indicators, or (2) measures related to incentivizing enrollees to make certain choices or exhibit behaviors associated with improved health.

An eligible issuer for the 2020 plan year is any QHP issuer that:

- Offered coverage through the Exchange in 2017, 2018, and 2019,
- Provides family and/or adult-only medical coverage, and
- Meets the QIS minimum enrollment threshold (more than 500 enrollees within a product type as of July 1, 2018).

The QIS requirements apply to all issuers offering QHPs, including QHPs compatible with health savings accounts (HSAs). For plan year 2020, QIS requirements will not apply to child-only plans or stand-alone dental plans.

All eligible issuers must comply with the following QIS requirements for the 2020 plan year:

- Implement a QIS, which is a payment structure that provides increased reimbursement or other market-based incentives for improving health outcomes of plan enrollees.
- Implement a QIS that includes at least one of the following:
  - Activities for improving health outcomes;
  - Activities to prevent hospital readmissions;
  - Activities to improve patient safety and reduce medical errors;
  - Activities for wellness and health promotion; and
  - Activities to reduce health and health care disparities.
- Adhere to federal guidelines, including the QIS Technical Guidance and User Guide for the 2020 Coverage Year.
- Report on progress implementing the QIS to the Exchange in accordance with guidelines established by the Exchange.

Issuers may implement one QIS that applies to all eligible QHPs in the Exchange, or may implement more than one QIS, tailored to the needs of different QHPs. A QIS does not have to address the needs of all enrollees in a given QHP but may address needs of specified sub-populations.

Eligible issuers for the 2020 plan year must submit the following documents to SSHIX in order to meet this certification criterion:

- A QIS applicable to any QHP to be offered in the Exchange in the form and manner

specified by the Exchange, which for the 2020 plan year will require use of the QIS Implementation Plan and Progress Report Form provided by SSHIX.

Issuers are required to submit QIS information using the CMS QIS Implementation Plan and Progress Report form, which will be formatted and provided to issuers by SSHIX. Issuers should also submit a summary of each QIS applicable to a QHP offered on the Exchange.

Eligible issuers who submitted a QIS for the 2019 plan year will need to indicate that they are submitting a new QIS for 2020 if any of the following changes are made to their 2019 QIS:

- QIS market-based incentive type or sub-type change;
- Change or addition of QIS topic area;
- One or more of the QIS performance targets are reached or changed; or
- The QIS results in negative outcomes or unintended consequences.

If an issuer with a 2019 QIS does not make any of the above changes, it should indicate that it is submitting a continuing QIS, with or without modifications, as appropriate.

Issuers with a 2019 QIS are required to complete a Progress Report as part of their 2020 QIS submission. This Progress Report is Section F of the QIS Implementation Plan Form, and should include data about the 2018 QIS implemented to comply with these QIS requirements for the 2019 plan year.

Issuers are required to submit their QIS summary in both PDF and Word formats and include the issuer's logo.

## Section 9. Review of Rates

This section pertains to QHP rate filings. Additional information is available in 45 CFR Part 154.

As required by 45 CFR 156.210(c) and 155.1020, a QHP issuer must submit a rate filing justification for a rate increase prior to implementation of such an increase, and an Exchange must consider all rate increases when certifying plans as QHPs. A rate filing justification includes:

- (1) URRT (Part I), required for all single risk pool products, including new and discontinuing products;
- (2) URRT (Part I) and actuarial memorandum (Part III), required for each single risk pool product that includes a plan that is subject to a rate increase, regardless of the size of the increase; or
- (3) URRT (Part I), written description justifying the rate increase (also known as a consumer justification narrative) (Part II), and actuarial memorandum (Part III), required for each single risk pool product that includes a plan with a rate increase that is subject to review under 45 CFR 154.200.

## Section 10. Discriminatory Benefit Design

The approach to discriminatory benefit design remains unchanged from that used in 2019. Please refer to the 2018 Letter to Issuers<sup>3</sup> for more information regarding discriminatory benefit design, QHP discriminatory benefit design, and the treatment protocol calculator.

## Section 12. Prescription Drugs

The approach for reviewing issuers' prescription drug benefit offerings remains unchanged from that used in 2019. Please refer to the 2019 Letter to Issuers<sup>4</sup> for more information.

## Section 13. Third Party Payment of Premiums and Cost Sharing

45 CFR 156.1250, governs requirements related to QHP and SADP issuers' acceptance of third party payments of premiums and cost sharing on behalf of QHP enrollees. Issuers offering individual market QHPs, including SADPs, and their downstream entities, must accept premium and cost-sharing payments on behalf of QHP enrollees from the following third-party entities (in the case of a downstream entity, to the extent the entity routinely collects premiums or cost sharing):

- Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program under title XXVI of the PHS;
- An Indian tribe, tribal organization, or urban Indian organization; and
- A local, State, or Federal government program, including a grantee directed by a government program to make payments on its behalf.

## Section 14. Cost-sharing Reduction Plan Variations

The approach for cost-sharing reductions provided by issuers to consumers remains unchanged from that used in 2018. Please refer to the 2018 Letter to Issuers<sup>5</sup> for more information. Eligible consumers can enroll in these plan variations for the 2020 plan year and will continue to receive cost-sharing reductions provided by the issuers. However, cost-sharing reduction payments to issuers are subject to appropriation.

Note that in reviewing for compliance with 45 CFR 156.420, CMS will ensure that silver plan variations have an annual limitation on cost sharing that does not exceed the permissible threshold for the specified plan variation as finalized in the 2019 Payment Notice final rule<sup>6</sup>, and not the 2018 figures noted in the 2018 Letter to Issuers.

## Section 15. Data Integrity Review

SSHIX and DOI will conduct data integrity reviews as needed and will supply issuers with any discrepancies found. Issuers should submit binders in accordance with ensuring data integrity tools have been ran.

---

<sup>3</sup> <https://www.cms.gov/CCIIO/Resources/Regulations-and-Guidance/Downloads/Final-2018-Letter-to-Issuers-in-the-Federally-facilitated-Marketplaces-and-February-17-Addendum.pdf>

<sup>4</sup> <https://www.cms.gov/CCIIO/Resources/Regulations-and-Guidance/Downloads/2019-Letter-to-Issuers.pdf>

<sup>5</sup> <https://www.cms.gov/CCIIO/Resources/Regulations-and-Guidance/Downloads/Final-2018-Letter-to-Issuers-in-the-Federally-facilitated-Marketplaces-and-February-17-Addendum.pdf>

<sup>6</sup> <https://s3.amazonaws.com/public-inspection.federalregister.gov/2018-07355.pdf>

## **CHAPTER 3: STAND-ALONE DENTAL PLANS: 2019 APPROACH**

The approach for submitting applications for certification of QHP SADPs remains unchanged from that used in 2019

### **Section 1. SADP Annual Limitation on Cost Sharing**

The applicable percentage increase (2.1 percent from 2016 to 2017) in the Consumer Price Index (CPI) for dental services would increase the annual limitation on cost-sharing for SADPs by \$7.48. Because this amount is less than \$25, and the regulation at 45 CFR 156.150(d) requires incremental increases to be rounded down to the next lowest multiple of \$25, the annual limitation on cost sharing for SADPs for plan year 2020 will remain \$350 for one child and \$700 for two or more children. For more information on how this limitation is determined, please refer to the regulation and to the 2019 Letter to Issuers<sup>7</sup>.

### **Section 2. SADP Actuarial Value Requirements**

SADP issuers can offer pediatric dental essential health benefit (EHB) without selecting or calculating an AV level of that coverage.

---

<sup>7</sup> <https://www.cms.gov/CCIIO/Resources/Regulations-and-Guidance/Downloads/2019-Letter-to-Issuers.pdf>



## CHAPTER 4: CONSUMER SUPPORT AND RELATED ISSUES

### Section 1. Consumer Case Tracking and Coverage Appeals

SSHIX expects QHP and SADP issuers to thoroughly investigate and resolve consumer issues received directly from the members or forwarded to the QHP or SADP issuer by the Exchange or the DOI through the issuer's internal customer service process. Cases received in any form will be input into the GI issuer portal for to carriers to meet a resolution.

More guidance to standards of handling of cases through the resolution process will follow as needed.

### Section 2. Meaningful Access

45 CFR 155.205(c) specifies access standards for certain entities, including QHP issuers and web-brokers, and includes language access standards with respect to oral interpretation, written translation, the use of taglines indicating the availability of language services, and website translation. Please refer to the 2018 Letter to Issuers for more information on these requirements.

As a reminder, QHP issuers that are also subject to the notice and tagline requirements in the regulations implementing section 1557 of the PPACA (45 CFR 92.8), will be deemed to be in compliance with §155.205(c)(2)(iii)(A) if they are in compliance with §92.8.

Additionally, we note that QHP issuers are not required to make available a printed copy of written translations of a formulary drug list pursuant to §155.205(c), unless doing so is necessary for providing meaningful access to an individual with a disability or an individual with limited English proficiency. Under §155.205(c) (cross-referenced at §156.250), QHP issuers must make information that is critical for obtaining health insurance coverage or access to health care services through the QHP, including the formulary drug list, accessible to individuals with disabilities and individuals with limited English proficiency. We consider a QHP issuer to be in compliance with the written translation requirements under §155.205(c) if the issuer's general practice is to make required written translations of the formulary drug list available on its website, as long as the issuer provides printed copies of the document to consumers who need a printed copy in order to access it.

### Section 3. Summary of Benefits and Coverage

Guidance on the Summary of Benefits and Coverage (SBC) remains unchanged from 2019, with the exception of the update below. Please refer to the 2018 Letter to Issuers <sup>8</sup>for additional information.

The 2017 SBC instructions for both individual and group market health plans require plans and

---

<sup>8</sup> <https://www.cms.gov/CCIIO/Resources/Regulations-and-Guidance/Downloads/Final-2018-Letter-to-Issuers-in-the-Federally-facilitated-Marketplaces-and-February-17-Addendum.pdf>

issuers to disclose whether the plan for which they are preparing an SBC provides minimum value by indicating “Yes” or “No” in the minimum value disclosure line. HHS noted in the preamble to the 2015 Summary of Benefits and Coverage and Uniform Glossary Final Rule that the concept of minimum value is not relevant with respect to individual market coverage and we would therefore not take enforcement action against an individual market issuer for omitting such a statement until the new template and associated documents were finalized and applicable. While materials for the 2017 SBC were finalized in 2016, and are applicable for open enrollment periods or plan or policy years beginning on or after April 1, 2017, HHS will maintain this position of not enforcing against an individual market issuer for omitting the minimum value disclosure. Options for these plans include using “Not Applicable” or “N/A” for this section.

Finally, as a reminder, guidance on the SBC applies to all QHP issuers and not to SADPs. Additionally, QHP issuers were required to begin using the 2017 SBC on or before the 2017 open enrollment period for the 2018 plan year, and should continue using the 2017 SBC template and associated documents for future open enrollment periods.

## **CHAPTER 5: TRIBAL RELATIONS AND SUPPORT**

The Federal Government, and therefore CMS, has a historic and unique relationship with Federally-recognized tribes, and the health programs operated by the IHS, Tribes and Tribal organizations and Urban Indian organizations. These are collectively known as Indian health care providers. Adhering to QHP certification standards, CMS reminds QHP issuers to contract with Indian health care providers, through which a significant number of American Indians and Alaska Natives (AI/AN) access health care. To promote contracting between issuers and Indian health care providers, CMS is continuing to require QHPs to offer contracts in good faith to all available Indian health care providers in the QHP's service area, applying the special terms and conditions necessitated by Federal law and regulations as referenced in the Model QHP Addendum (Addendum).

CMS developed the Addendum to facilitate the inclusion of Indian health care providers in QHP provider networks. The Addendum is a model standardized document for QHP issuers to use in contracting with Indian health care providers. To make it easier for QHPs to find Indian health care providers, a list of eligible providers and their address and contact information may be found on the HHS ECP list available on our CCIIO website. We strongly encourage issuers to ensure each offer is sent to the correct address and contacts. Similarly, we encourage all Indian health care providers to ensure their contact information correctly appears on the HHS ECP list and review all offers and respond timely to issuers. For the 2018 plan year, CMS has collected via the ECP petition more complete Indian health care provider data that will enhance an issuer's ability to identify and contact Indian health care and other providers interested in participating in QHP networks. For further details, please refer to Chapter 2, Section 4, "Essential Community Providers" in this document.

Section 206 of the Indian Health Care Improvement Act (IHCIA) (25 USC 1621e) provides for a right of recovery from an insurance company and other third party entities, including QHP issuers, for reasonable charges billed by an Indian health care provider when providing services, or, if higher, the highest amount the third party would pay for services furnished by other providers. This right of recovery applies whether the Indian health care provider is in a plan network or not. Further details can be found at <https://www.ihs.gov/ihcia/>.

Even though Indian health care providers have a right of recovery under section 206 of the IHCIA, CMS encourages issuers and Indian health care providers to develop mutually beneficial business relationships that promote effective care for medically underserved and vulnerable populations.